

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,189		03/24/2004	Tadakatsu Suzuki	2004-0477 3722 EXAMINER	
513	7590	12/02/2004			
		IND & PONACK	LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
SUITE 800	STREET N. W. ARTU				PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	GTON, D	C 20006-1021		1775	
				DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/807,189	SUZUKI, TADAKATSU					
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Cathy Lam	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply			; 				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 17-24 is/are pending in the application	in.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are:		o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/125,777</u> . ed in this National Stage	;				
Attachment(s)							
I) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-24-2004</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trademade Office							

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 17 and 21, the phrase "a minimum reflectivity which is 0.5% or lower" rendering the claims indefinite, because the relationship between minimum and lower is unclear. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-6, 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al (US 5714268).

It is noted by the Examiner that some claims invovive processing steps.

Applicant is reminded that it is the product itself which must be new and unobvious. In re Pinkington 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969). Unless some unexpected result is shown that occurs due to Applicant's specific process, different processing steps are not patentability distinguishing for claims to an article.

Anderson discloses a thin film substrate comprised of a glass substrate and metallic coatings. The metallic coatings are coated over onto the glass substrate for optimal light transmission and light reflection.

Art Unit: 1775

Tantalum is first coated onto the glass substrate, followed by a second metallic coating which can be Fe_2O_3 and CoO coating (col 4 L 8-16). The second metallic coating can also be a tin material (col 5 L 55-62). Anderson has no mentioned of including chromium in the metallic coatings, thus Anderson's teaching anticipates the present invention.

Absent evidence to the contrary the properties the compositionally similar structures would inherently be the same as those claimed in the present applicant meeting the limitations of claims 17 and 21.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775